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Fourteen years have gone by since I began to collect material for my study of the Scandinavian settlement names in East Anglia and the volume is still no more than half-finished. This is in part because of rather heavy administrative duties and in part because I have found it difficult to resist the temptation to work on place-names in Scotland, Man and the North Atlantic viking colonies when the opportunity arose or to become concerned with projects involving the compilation of dictionaries of Old Norse and Old English or with the care and conservation of medieval manuscripts. I must nevertheless confess to a certain hesitance in throwing myself wholeheartedly into the writing of the difficult concluding chapters, firstly because the longer I wait the more volumes of Karl Ing Sandred’s magisterial The Place-Names of Norfolk will have been published. This means that I shall be able to consult his learned and well-balanced interpretations of the county’s settlement names and have at my disposition the vast body of minor names that he has been collecting for so many years.

My second reason for delaying, however, has been the marked differences I have noted between the number and nature of the Scandinavian settlement names in East Anglia and those in the other zones of Scandinavian settlement in England. Some of these differences I now feel can be explained without too much difficulty. Others I still find puzzling. I am grateful for being given this opportunity to lay some
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of my preliminary conclusions before readers who may have helpful comments to make.

A map prepared by Hugh Smith showing the distribution of place-names in -ing etc. suggests that East Anglia must have been rather densely settled in the Anglo-Saxon period and this assumption is confirmed by the very limited survival of the Old British names for streams and rivers shown on a map compiled by Kenneth Jackson, where it is only major rivers such as the Colne, Lea, Nene, Ouse and Stour that can be counted as certain or possible examples. There are also hardly any place-names in East Anglia containing Latin elements. Among the exceptions may be mentioned the words campus 'field', which occurs with the probable meaning 'enclosure' in Bulcamp and Campsey, both in Suffolk, ecclesia 'church', which occurs twice as the simplex name Eccles in Norfolk, vicus 'Roman settlement' in two Wickhams in Suffolk, and castra, in its anglicised form caser 'Roman camp' in Brancaster and two simplex Caisters, Caister next Yarmouth and Caistor St Edmund, all in Norfolk.

The only Romano-British place-names certainly to survive even in part are Branodunum 'crow's' or *Branno's* fort in Brancaster on the northern coast of Norfolk and Camulodunum (the Celtic war-god Camulos' fort) in Colchester Essex, in which the old names of the Romano-British settlements form the specifics of English place-names in -caster, a type of name that occurs all over England to denote an English settlement on the site of a Roman fort. The name Dovercourt is first recorded as Douorcortae in Ælfflæd's will from c.1000. The specific of this name would also seem to be a British place-name, probably a river-name *Dubris* 'waters', identical with the settlement name Dover and the river-name Dour in Kent, while the generic has been explained as Old English (OE) corite f. of doubtful meaning but possibly related to a Latin word curtus 'piece cut off' or cohors 'cohort', cf. Old French cort.

---

area once formed a Celtic or a Roman estate and that it was taken over by a tribal group known as the Rödingas or followers of Hrōpa. I do not intend to go further into the question of the Anglo-Saxon settlement here but should like to emphasise that the place-name evidence really does tend to point to something of a clean-sweep or ethnic cleansing by the Anglo-Saxons in East Anglia. The only areas from which Old English place-names are absent are the most marshy and inhospitable ones so that Danes arriving in the late ninth century cannot have found much easily exploitable vacant land.

Hugh Smith’s map of parish names of Scandinavian origin\(^\text{12}\) shows that there is nevertheless a fairly even spread of Scandinavian names over East Anglia but that this thins out markedly towards the west and practically disappears at the Suffolk/Essex boundary. Most striking, however, is the fact that the only really marked concentration of Scandinavian names is to be found at the eastern end of the Norfolk/Suffolk boundary, in the hundreds of Flegg and Lothingland. There are many names in -bý in the Norfolk hundreds of Flegg, while the Scandinavian names in Lothingland in Suffolk are more varied, although with topographical names in -holmr and hybrid names in -tān being the most frequently occurring.

The two hundreds of Flegg are cut off from the rest of Norfolk by marshland to form an island and it is not unlikely that they were only sparsely inhabited before the settlement of the Danes in the area in 880 but they were certainly already under exploitation at that time. East Flegg may perhaps have been an old estate with its centre at the settlement on the site of the old Roman fort at Caister, which had presumably formed part of the Roman coastal defence-system to meet the threat of Saxon invaders,\(^\text{13}\) or less likely at Runham (OE hrūna ‘felled trunk’ in the sense ‘footbridge’ or perhaps a personal name *Rūna + OE ēðam or hamm\(^\text{\text{m}}\))\(^\text{14}\) but this is only a rather insignificant village now and it was not an old parish. The old estate in West Flegg may have been centred on Burgh (OE burh ‘stronghold’), a name which may refer to its status as part of the Roman system of coastal defence, although no evidence of any Roman military fortification has been found here\(^\text{15}\) and another candidate as estate-centre is Martham, whose specific is the Old English animal-term mearσ ‘marten’ and its generic either hām or hamm\(^\text{16}\). Karl Inge Sandred has noted that there is archaeological evidence here for a pagan Anglo-Saxon cemetery and that Martham had a vast expanse of grazing marsh. The concentration of names in -bý in Flegg definitely points to an intensification of exploitation in the Viking period. It is difficult to know just how long we can reckon with a marked Danish presence in East Anglia. The Anglo-Saxon Chronicle, however, records that King Edward ravaged all the territory of the Danes between the Cambridgeshire dikes and the river Wissey in 905 and Norwich is known to have been recaptured by the English in 917. It was probably partly because of the density of the early Danish settlement in Flegg and partly because of the isolated situation of the island that so many Scandinavian names survive here.

Of the only nine names in -bý to be found in Norfolk outside Flegg, six have Scandinavian nouns or adjectives as their specifics, a type of name which I have argued belongs to the first phase of Danish settlement in England.\(^\text{17}\) Two of these are names whose specifics are Scandinavian kirkja ‘church’, probably reflecting the taking-over by the Danes of English settlements which already had churches. These are Kirby Bedon and Kirby Cane. There is certainly Anglo-Saxon fabric in the church at Kirby Cane.\(^\text{18}\) The taking-over by Danes of English settlements is also suggested in the case of two Asbys, which clearly have the Old English specific asc ‘ash-tree’, Wilby, whose specific is another English tree-term wilig ‘willow’, as well as Aldby, containing the Old English adjective ald ‘old’. These names may all be partial scandinavianisations of earlier English names but this is not necessarily the case.

Of the thirteen names in -bý in Flegg, some are also of the characteristically early type, that is names indicating something about the nature of the settlement in question. There is an Ashby which I consider

---
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to be identical in origin with the Ashbys outside Flegg but which has spellings pointing to scandinavianisation and which Sandred alternatively explains as indicating that the specific is the rare Scandinavian personal name *Ask. In my opinion Filby contains as specific the collective *fili 'planking', perhaps referring to a plank bridge or causeway. This seems much more likely than other explanations of the specific as a Scandinavian personal name *Fili of uncertain occurrence or *Fili, which is only recorded as the name of a dwarf in mythology, or the Old English by-name *Fita from OE *fīl. Mautby I consider to contain the plant-term *malt 'malt'. Malt was certainly one of the commonest agricultural exports from England to Scandinavia about the year 1300 and must also have been an important product before that. I do not find the persistent medial e in recorded forms of this name and the other four Maltbys in England a valid objection to this interpretation but Sandred and others do and they consider that the specific of these names is the Danish personal name *Malti. This name is unknown in Norwegian and Swedish, and in Denmark, where it is a loan from Continental Germanic, it is not recorded until the thirteenth century. I find it unlikely that it was borrowed early enough into Denmark to appear as the specific of five names in -bý in the Danelaw.

The name of Oby, a lost settlement in Ashby with Oby, whose site is now marked by the Manor House, seems to me most likely to contain as specific the Scandinavian adjective *audr 'empty, desolate', as suggested by Lindkvist many years ago, and to refer to the fact that a Dane had established a settlement here on a site deserted by earlier settlers. Lindkvist's alternative explanation of the specific of this name as a

---
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Scottish personal name *Audi has, however, been preferred by Sandred and Insley. The specific of Stokesby has been generally accepted to be an older Old English place-name *stocca with secondary Middle English plural ending -es, referring to outlying pastures for cattle, and I have tentatively proposed a similar type of explanation for the name Thrigby, i.e. that the specific is a scandinavianised version with [k] for [f] of an earlier Old English place-name *pric, perhaps referring to a narrow passage through undergrowth. The alternative and formally acceptable interpretation of the specific is as a hypocoristic form *prykki of a Scandinavian personal name *brýðirki but I have objected that the first record in Scandinavian sources of the full-form is in a runic inscription on a stone from Dynnæ in Norway that has been dated to the first half of the eleventh century, while the earliest record of the hypocoristic form is from 1531 and there is no record of an occurrence of either of the forms in Danish sources.

I have been reluctant to accept that the specifics of the names in -bý in Flegg that I have discussed so far have anthropomyrcal specifics but there are at least six names there which do seem to contain personal names and thus perhaps to belong to the second phase of settlement, when the Danes began to split up large estates into small independent units. The personal names in question are the compound name *Hrólfir in an archaic form *Hróðulfir in Rollesby, the very common original by-name *Ormr in Ormesby St Margaret, three much less common by-names: *Haringr in Herringsby, *Kylapr in Clippesby and *Skrauti in Scratby, and finally the rare hypocoristic form *Heimir of names in *Heim- in Hemsby. There are also three býs in Norfolk outside Flegg whose specific is a Scandinavian personal name: Alby contains *Alí or *Alí, Colby contains *Koli, and Tyby contains the typically Swedish personal name *Midhe.

The final name in -bý in Flegg is really problematic. This is Billockby. Various by-names and personal names have been suggested
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as its specific, with the compound name *Bítákr postulated by O. K. Schram and John Insley perhaps being the least unconvincing.\(^{30}\) I, however, am more inclined to treat the specific as a lost place-name *bita ‘small piece of land’ + lacu ‘slow-moving stream’, which can be compared with Potlock in Derbyshire (*potte-lacu, with recorded forms such as *Potlach and *Pollac).\(^{31}\)

Outside Norfolk the bys in East Anglia are very few and scattered. There is one name containing kirka in Essex: Kirby le Soken, and four bys in Suffolk, three of which have English or possibly English nouns as specifics: barn ‘child’ in Barnby, aesc in Ashby, wilig ‘willow’ in Wilby. The exception is Risby, whose specific may be the Scandinavian noun hris n. ‘brushwood’ in the genitive plural form hrtsa, although some spellings suggest that Ekwall may have been right to suggest that the specific was Scandinavian riðh in the genitive singular riðhs ‘clearing’, perhaps functioning as a place-name.

While place-names in -bys are of much less frequent occurrence in East Anglia than in Yorkshire and the East Midlands and even than in North-West England, place-names in -bop are more common, particularly in Norfolk, where there are sixty-two instances recorded in early sources. Only the West and East Ridings of Yorkshire have more instances than this, ninety-four and eighty-three respectively. Some of the names in -bop in East Anglia may well be of English origin, first and foremost Souldrup, which contains OE sulu ‘furrow’, and Thrup End, a simplex name, both of which are found in Bedfordshire and show the metaphased Old English form of the generic, but also the twenty-seven other originally simplex names, for example Thorpe Abbot in Norfolk, as well as names whose specific is the name of a mother settlement, e.g. Burnham Thorpe in Norfolk, or the five names whose specific is an Old English personal name, e.g. Edellsweih in Ailesway Thorpe in Norfolk or Godric in Goodrich Thorpe in Suffolk, or the Old English adjective east in Easthorpe in Essex, or an Old English plant-term such as bòse ‘bent

---


Scandinavian personal names, for example *Ingulfr* in Ingoldisthorpe, whose present form shows confusion with a different Scandinavian name *Ingjaldr*, a loan in Scandinavian from OE *Ingeld*, or perhaps the English name itself. It has been pointed out by John Insley that the *Ingulfr* in question might be identical with the *Ingulfs* who held land in 1086 at Sedeford, three miles to the north-east of Ingoldisthorp (Little Domesday Book (LDB) 193b;10/20). Six of the personal names would seem to be typically Danish: *Aki* in Akethorpe in Suffolk (cf. several Danish *Åkerup*s), *Glóir* in Glothorpe in Norfolk (cf. two Danish *Glostrup*s), *Kali* in Calthorpe in Norfolk (cf. Danish *Kallerup*), *Freathi* in Freethorpe in Norfolk (cf. Danish Frejlev), *Sibbi* in Ellington Thorpe in Huntingdonshire (*Sibthorp* 1327; cf. three Danish *Sibberup*s), and *Toki* in a lost *Tokerpert* in Norfolk (LDB 145b; 4/14; cf. five Danish *Tågerup*s). It is probably significant that a man called *Toke* held land in this vill in 1065.

Four *porp*-names in Norfolk would seem to have Norman personal names as specifics: the surname of Continental Germanic origin *Anger* in Angerthorpe, the surname *Bacun* in Bacan Thornton, the Breton place-name *Kerbois*, probably functioning as a surname in the lost *Carboistorp*, and the Continental Germanic diminutive form *Idike* in the lost *Dykebeck* (*Idiketorp* LDB 253a;31/42). The presence of four Norman names as specifics in the *porp*-names shows that not all of the Domesday Book *porps* can have been established before the re-establishment of English rule in 917.

My paper on *porp*-names read to this society at Leicester in 1991 begins with a demonstration of a great lack of homogeneity in the status of *porps* in two areas—the Yorkshire Wolds and the Nottinghamshire—
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Leicestershire border—so I shall now take a quick look at the *porps* in East Anglia. First it should be noted that it is extremely unlikely that English names can lie behind most of the sixty-two *porps* in Norfolk. English names in -*porp* never occur with this frequency. Where they are commonest, i.e. in Gloucestershire, there are only fifteen instances. It is also significant that in East Anglia it is only in Norfolk that the *porps* are of frequent occurrence. There are only fourteen instances in Suffolk, five in Essex and two in Bedfordshire.

There is a great variation in the Domesday assessments of the places with names in -*porp*. None of them has such an extremely high assessment as, for example, Weavethorpe in the East Riding of Yorkshire with its eighteen carucates. There are, however, three *porps* with assessments higher than seven carucates in East Anglia, a region where the general level of Domesday assessments is comparatively low and where sixteen of the other *porps* are assessed at under one carucate. The three highly assessed *porps* are all originally simplex names: Thorpe Morieux in Suffolk, Thorpe Market and Thorpe St Andrew in Norfolk. Thorpe Morieux is named in a pre-Conquest source from c.962 and I can see no other reason than its comparative age for the high assessment. Thorpe Market had a medieval market that may have been in existence before Domesday Book but it is now a tiny village that is partially absorbed in the manorial estate of Gunthorpe. Thorpe St Andrew probably already owed its prosperity to its proximity to Norwich, as it certainly did later on.

Two of the *porps* with Norman specifics: Bacanthorpe and *Carboistorp*, are among those with assessments of under one carucate and both are now lost, although Bacanthorpe has given its name to a parish. Thirty-nine of the East Anglian *porps* are lost and twenty-seven of them are parishes but some of them are both lost and parishes. These last instances must be reflections of the great prosperity of Norfolk in the church-building period compared with its sparse population at the present day.

Apart from the *byys* and the *porps*, there are only seventeen settlements in East Anglia with Scandinavian habitative place-names recorded in

early sources. One is Scole in Suffolk, which would seem to be an
instance of skáli ‘hut’, although the earliest recorded form Escales 1191
would seem to show confusion with the fairly common French place-
name Échelle < Latin scala ‘staircase’ in the sense ‘steep slope’, a name
that is not at all appropriate for the site of Scole.

Most of the other instances of Scandinavian habitative names contain
the generic toft f. ‘building plot’. Eight of the tofts are originally simplex
names, e.g. Toft Monks in Norfolk. Most of these are in the plural with
the secondary Middle English plural ending in -es, suggesting that they
denote a collection of building-plots and that the generic is the Middle
English loanword from Scandinavian in the sense ‘curtilage’ rather than
the Scandinavian word used with the sense ‘deserted plot’, as found so
frequently in Normandy. That toft was adopted into the Norfolk dialect
and eventually acquired a technical sense ‘portion of land assigned to
a named tenant with certain manorial responsibilities’ has been ably
demonstrated by Joan Turville-Petre in her study of ‘The tofts of
Aylsham manors’ recorded in the court rolls and court books.47 It is,
however, difficult to determine whether the numerous toft-names
recorded in Little Domesday Book in plural form can be evidence of the
existence of such an administrative system already in the Viking period.

There are also six names in -toft whose specifics are of Scandinavian
origin and these would all seem likely to be genuine Scandinavian
formations from the early period of settlement. Three contain
Scandinavian personal names: Hłódvěr in Lowestoft, Grímn in a lost
Grymestoft and Hundr in a lost Hundestoft, all in Suffolk, while three
contain Scandinavian appellatives: gríss m. ‘young pig’ in a lost Grisetoft
in Suffolk, mór ‘moor, marshland’ in a lost Mortostoft and skór ‘opening,
depression’ in a lost Scartoft, both in Norfolk. It is probably significant
that five out of six of these probably Scandinavian formations are borne
by settlements that are now lost. Lowestoft alone thrived to become a
prosperous medieval fishing-town. The different fate of this one toft
probably reflects its situation on the coast and the development of the
fishing industry in the fourteenth century.

Scandinavian topographical names are both more common and more


data varied in the early sources than the habitative names. Some reflect
the local topography and the demands this made on settlers, for example
Felbrigg ‘plank bridge’ and Flegg ‘area where marsh plants, particularly
iris, grow’, both in Norfolk. At least seven names contain the word
holmr meaning ‘island of higher, dry ground in a marshy area’ and these
also point to the low-lying nature of much of the region. Other names
point to the presence of woodland, for example Haddiscoe and a lost Sco
in Norfolk, both containing the word skróg ‘wood’, and there are four
names in -lundr ‘grove’, for example Rockland in Norfolk. This name
is identical in origin with that of Rågelund in Denmark and Ruckland
in Lincolnshire. The specific is more likely to be the Scandinavian word
hróki ‘small hill’ than the bird-term ‘rook’.

It would seem that there was earlier more woodland in East Anglia
than there is now for there are no fewer than nine settlement names in
-jveit ‘clearing (in woodland)’ and the same element is found in a
number of minor names. The comparative absence of this element from
the place-names of Lincolnshire, for example, was once explained as
reflecting the fact that jveit was a typically Norwegian word, but this is
not true and the greater frequency of occurrence of the element in the
North Riding of Yorkshire and the Lake District than in the rest of
the Daneslaw is now thought to reflect the presence there of more woodland
to be cleared. The recorded forms of some of the jveit-names in East
Anglia show confusion with other words, for example Crostwight and
Crostwick in Norfolk. These corrupt forms of the element suggest that
the word dropped out of use in Norfolk at an early date, although its
occurrence in some younger minor names makes this doubtful. Karl Inge
Sandre in a useful study of the jveit-names in Norfolk has noted that
the names for which there are early records are all situated close to
groups of names in -bý and suggested that they can be looked upon as a
supplement to these names as indicators of early settlement.48

The group of place-names reflecting Scandinavian influence that has
the most widespread distribution in East Anglia is that consisting of a

48 K. I. Sandre, ‘Language contact in East Anglia. Some observations on the
Scandinavian place-names in -hwæte in Norfolk’, in Proceedings of the XVIIIth
Scandinavian element compounded with OE -tūn. There are no fewer than 101 of these in all in Norfolk and Suffolk. This frequency of occurrence must in part reflect the fact that Old English names in -tūn are also very common in these two counties (232 in all). Many of these English formations are names such as Barton, Preston, Stretton, which occur throughout England. Unlike in other parts of England, however, Norfolk and Suffolk have comparatively many place-names in -tūn whose specific is a personal name, occasionally a Norman name, which points to an eleventh-century manorial origin for the place-name, e.g. Continental Germanic Gerulf in Garvestone. Many other names contain Old English personal names (thirty-three in Norfolk, forty-three in Suffolk), for example Beodbeorht in Theberton in Suffolk. These names may also be manorial and date from the eleventh century or they may reflect a tenth-century reorganisation of land after the Danes had lost control over East Anglia.

The numerous tūn-names with Scandinavian specifics show that names in -tūn were being coined or reformed while there were Danes in the area. The name Carlton in Suffolk, for example, contains as its specific the genitive plural karla of Scandinavian karl 'free peasant', possibly replacing OE ceorlæna. There are a total of twenty names in East Anglia containing a Scandinavian or scandinaviised noun or adjective. Of much more frequent occurrence, however, are tūns with Scandinavian personal names as specifics, for example Skiði in Scoleton in Norfolk. At least one Skiði held land in Norfolk in 1065, possibly seven different men with small holdings or one man with scattered possessions, so this name may be a young manorial formation but it is not necessarily so. Skiði is a fairly common name in Scandinavia and the Danelaw and the sub-tenants of Scoleton in 1065 were unnamed freemen.

Some of the other place-names in -tūn with Scandinavian personal names as specifics seem much more probable examples of names coined in the early period of settlement because they occur only rarely in England, for example Bildestone in Suffolk. The Scandinavian personal name Bïldr is not certainly recorded in England but may occur in a couple of place-names: Bilsthorpe in Nottinghamshire and Bilstone in Leicestershire. 49

Other examples are Scandinavian personal names that occur in anglicised forms typical of the early period of settlement. John Insley has demonstrated that such forms survive particularly frequently in East Anglia, where Scandinavian immigration did not continue into the tenth and eleventh centuries as it did in Lincolnshire and Yorkshire. 50 This is true, for example, of the form taken by Ketilbjorn in Ketleheston (Ketelbeormastuna LDB 369a;14/114); cf. OE cytel + beorn), and of a number of other names. It is also possible to point to the survival of archaic Scandinavian forms of the personal names which have -kettill as the second element. This element had been contracted to -kell og -kill by the year 1000 at home in Denmark but we find *Ylfkettill, for example, in Ilketshall in Suffolk (Ilchetelestuna LDB 300b;4/20) and porkettill in a lost Tyrrchlestuna in Suffolk (LDB 420a;36/6).

When I first looked at the Scandinavian personal names which occurred as the specifics of names in -tūn in East Anglia, I was inclined to treat Bjalf, an original by-name meaning 'repressor' which appears in Thelveton in Norfolk, as one of the Scandinavian names that was likely to have been borne by an early settler. Its other occurrence in England is in a field-name Thelfeland in Tivetshall six miles north of Thelveton, as noted by John Insley. 52 The Scandinavian name is of very rare occurrence in both Norwegian and Danish but quite common in Swedish. A runic inscription on a stone in Landeryd in Östergötland in Sweden commemorates Bjalf, a dregnr or young warrior who was with Knut in England. 53 In her comments on this stone in a recent study of the ornamentation and dating of eleventh-century runestones in Sweden, Signe Horn Fuglesang has claimed that it is a reasonable inference that Bjalfi died in Knut’s service and thus certainly before 1035 and possibly

49 Fellows Jensen, Scandinavian Settlement Names in the East Midlands, pp. 103, 188.
51 The paragraph numbers in LDB here and below refer to the Phillimore edition of Domesday Book, volume XXXIV, edited by A. Rumble (Chichester, 1986).
52 Insley, Scandinavian Personal Names in Norfolk, p. 390.
as early as 1015–16. She would therefore assign the stone to 1035 at the very latest, possibly to the first quarter of the eleventh century. This dating seems reasonable enough but from a historical point of view it is equally possible that Þialfi did not die in Knut’s service but had been granted land by him or money to buy land in this for and that he had settled in Norfolk at the place that came to be known as Thelveton. We cannot, of course, be certain that the Þialfi of Thelveton had served with Knut but his name is almost certainly of Swedish origin and service as a mercenary with Knut is probably the best explanation for his presence in England.

It will now have become clear that some of my conclusions about Scandinavian settlement in East Anglia unfortunately remain rather preliminary. It seems reasonable, however, to assume that some at least of the names must have been coined in the Viking period proper. I am inclined to believe that this is true of the Scandinavian hundred-names, as pointed out by Karl Inge Sandred, of all the bys, the ðorps with Scandinavian specifics, the Scandinavian topographical names, most of the names in -tun whose specifics are Scandinavian nouns or adjectives and probably those whose specifics are rare or archaic Scandinavian personal names or anglicised forms of such names, although all the personal names can have been inherited down through generations so that the place-names containing them may in some cases be purely English formations. Other names of comparatively late coinage may be those containing the names of Scandinavian followers of Knut or his sons in the first half of the eleventh century. Some names of frequent occurrence such as Pockthorpe may be analogical formations of an even later date. It is my hope that with a final effort of will I shall be able to publish a reasoned summary of the evidence for Scandinavian settlement in East Anglia before the new millennium is very old.


Names on the Edge: Hills and Boundaries

Mary C. Higham
Clitheroe, Lancashire

Quite often we look at place-names within territorial areas because this gives a recognisable unity to the work. In this paper it is hoped to demonstrate that valuable insights may also be obtained by looking at names ‘on the edge’. The research arose as a consequence of an earlier paper given to the Society for Name Studies at its Annual Conference at Leicester some years ago, when the identification of places listed in a boundary perambulation of 1307, together with other names listed in monastic charters over a hundred years earlier, was discussed. The area in question was the medieval chase of Burton-in-Lonsdale in north west England. As usual with most studies of this kind, the research raised further questions, one of which was the identity of the pre-cursor of Burton Chase, and the second, and closely allied, problem of assessing the significance of certain ‘early’ place-names used in the perambulation.

At the time I was moving towards the conclusion that the geographical unit delimited was probably part of a pre-Conquest lordship which was similar to but not identical in size and constitution to the wapentake of Ewecross (Maps 1 and 2). This administrative unit was recorded for the first time in 1219, but is unlikely to have been a new creation at this date. It can be seen that the southern part of the wapentake was co-terminus with Burton Chase, with the northern portion made up of the townships of Sedbergh, Garsdale and Dent—part of the Honor of Burton-in-Lonsdale, but outwith Burton Chase. The greater part of the circumference of the boundary of the Chase follows natural features.

1 A summary of this paper was published in *Nomina*, 15 (1991/92), 69–73, with full details of the research appearing in the *Yorkshire Archaeological Journal*, 66 (1994), 91–105.