Council for Name Studies
in Great Britain and Ireland

Essay Prize

1. A prize of £50 will be awarded annually for the best essay on any
topic relating to the place-names and/or personal names of
England, Ireland, Scotland, Wales, Man and the Channel
Islands.
2. Submissions are invited from all students and young
researchers. The prize will normally be awarded to those who
have not hitherto had work on onomastics published.
3. Entries should be about 5,000 words in length.
4. Entries should in some way make an original contribution to the
subject.
5. One copy of the essay should be submitted to the Secretary of the
Council in clear typescript, double-spaced, and should include a
bibliography of sources of material used and of books and
authors cited.
6. Entries will be judged by a panel appointed by the Chairman of
the Council, and may be considered for publication in Nomina,
the Journal of Name Studies relating to Great Britain and
Ireland.
7. Entries must be submitted by December 31st and, provided an
essay of sufficient merit is forthcoming, the winner will be
announced at the Annual Name Study Conference in the spring
of the following year.

Entries should be sent to:
The Secretary,
Council for Name Studies in Great Britain and Ireland,
21 Caledonia Place
Clifton
Bristol BS8 4DL

To Divide the Danes from the Norwegians:
On Scandinavian Settlement
in the British Isles

Gillian Fellows-Jensen

It is a commonplace of history that colonization in the Viking
period was a kind of Nordic cooperative pirate project in which the
Norwegians sailed westwards across the North Sea to Orkney,
Shetland, north-east Scotland and the Hebrides and moved on
from there north-westwards to the Faroes and Iceland and
southwards along the west coast of Scotland to Man and
north-west England; the Danes sailed south along the North-Sea
coast of Europe until they reached their settling grounds in eastern
England and Normandy; and the Gauts and Swedes sailed to the
east coast of the Baltic and from there made their way along the
great waterfall-ridden rivers of Russia to the Black Sea and the
Caspian. Documentary evidence for the Viking raids and
settlements is, however, sketchy. As far as England is concerned,
for example, there are records in the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle of the
movements of the Danish army year by year and of three partitions
of land carried out by the Danes between 876 and 880, while a
comparatively expansive entry for 876 states that the Danes settled
down in Yorkshire to cultivate the land and make a living for
themselves there. The Chronicle makes no other mention of
Scandinavian settlement in England, but the anonymous Historia
de Sancto Cuthberto refers to a partition of the coastal district of
Durham in the second decade of the tenth century, and an Irish
chronicle records a settlement in Wirral by a band of Vikings who
had been expelled from Dublin in 902.1

If this bare outline is to be fleshed out with details as to the
extent and density of the settlement and the nationality of the
settlers, then resort must be had to the evidence that can be drawn
from place-names. Eilert Ekwall's two masterly general surveys
have formed the basis for all subsequent discussions of the
question.2 The later of these surveys included a map on which
indication was made both of the main areas of Norwegian
settlement (Man, most of Cumberland, Westmorland and
Lancashire, and north-west Cheshire and the westerly extremities
of the West Riding of Yorkshire) and of an area of mixed Norse

and Danish settlement (eastern Westmorland, the most westerly tip of the North Riding of Yorkshire, a north-south belt running through the West Riding, together with south-east Lancashire and north-east Cheshire). No attempt was made on this map to indicate the main areas of Danish settlement or to distinguish between areas of varying density of settlement. These deficiencies were made good on a map published by the Danish professor Peter Skaustrup in 1944. He showed Danish areas by vertical hatching, Norwegian areas by horizontal hatching and the closeness of the hatching was supposed to reflect the density of settlement. Scotland and Man were ignored and Cheshire was innocent of a Scandinavian presence, simply because John Dodgson’s Cheshire volumes were still only a glint in their author’s youthful eye. A more reliable picture of the density of the Scandinavian settlement appeared twelve years later in the form of Hugh Smith’s map of parish-names of Scandinavian origin. As long as we remember that some areas lack Scandinavian names simply because they are inhospitable and have few settlements of any kind — for example the marshy area around the Wash and the high-ground in the Pennines — Smith’s map can be taken as a good indication of the areas in which Scandinavians settled permanently after about 900. It is important to note, however, that the postulated Norwegian settlement of the Lake District and Lancashire 900-950 does not have any basis in documentary evidence.

When, twenty-five years ago, I first began my research into place-names as evidence for Scandinavian settlement in England, I took for granted the clear lines of demarcation that had been drawn between Danish and Norwegian settlement. As time wore on, however, and I became more familiar not only with the English material but also with the place-names of the Scandinavian homelands and other Viking colonies, I realised that the evidence was not by any means as clear-cut as had been assumed. A great deal had been built, for example, on the assumption that the Norwegian language was morphologically and phonologically at a more archaic state of development than Danish at the time of the Viking settlements in England, so that the place-names in which genitive inflexions in -ar or the diphthongs au and ei survived could be taken to have been coined by Norwegians rather than by Danes. Closer examination of the evidence, however, revealed that both the genitive inflexion -ar and the diphthongs au and ei survived in Danish, too, into the Viking period. In a recent critical assessment of the value of phonological evidence for distinguishing between names of Norwegian and Danish origin, I concluded that whereas names containing the East Scandinavian form both of the word for ‘booth’ can probably be assumed to have been coined by Danes, and names containing the assimilated forms brukha and slukhi of the two topographical generics *brinj- and *slank- to have been coined by Norwegians, all other phonological variations are almost certainly to be explained otherwise than as reflecting the nationality of the coiners of the names. It seems, therefore, that the lexical evidence for the presence of the various Scandinavian nationalities is the best basis on which to compile a map showing their distribution in the British Isles. With the exception of four names which may just possibly point to the presence of Gaus (two Gaudbys in Leicestershire; Gauhby in Lincolnshire; and a field-name Gauthscou in the North Riding of Yorkshire, PN Yorks. (NR), 332), the national terms in the place-names refer, as would be expected, to either the Norwegians (Northmen) and their Celtic associates (Irar) or to the Danes (Scandinavian Danir, English Dene). This direct evidence is comparatively meagre, but it must be remembered that the absence of one of these national terms from the nomenclature of an area does not necessarily mean that there were no settlers of that nationality there. Danes, for example, were probably so numerous in Lincolnshire that settlements in that county could not be distinguished satisfactorily simply by employing the specific Danir/Dene in their names. This means that help in the determination of the pattern of settlement must be sought elsewhere, namely in the distribution patterns of place-name generics which can be shown to be either exclusively or typically of Danish or Norwegian origin. There is almost always, however, more than one possible explanation as to why a particular place-name generic is of greater or lesser frequency in any specified area and disagreement can arise as to the interpretation of the evidence. I have therefore decided to subject to reassessment the place-name generics of Scandinavian origin in the Viking colonies in the light of what is known about the nomenclature of the Scandinavian homelands in the Viking period.

In the central and southern parts of Scandinavia, from where the Vikings who settled in the colonies across the North Sea and the Atlantic can be assumed to have set out (see Map 1), there is no stratum of place-names which can be proved to have been coined by people speaking a non-Germanic language. This means that the erection of a place-name chronology for the region is largely dependent on comparative material from neighbouring countries, where names containing cognate elements can sometimes be
Map 1. Scandinavia, showing the divisions referred to in the present article. Adapted from Nordic Archaeological Abstracts, with the permission of the publishers.

Map 2. The distribution of place names in -leben- and -lev-. Reproduced from A. Bach, Deutsche Namenkunde (Heidelberg, 1953-4), II, i, with the permission of the publishers.

- - - - - Boundary of the old territory of Thüringen
placed between more or less datable strata of names of different linguistic origin. Other significant contributions towards the dating of the names in Scandinavia can be made by their lexical content and by the state of development of the language reflected in their phonology and morphology.

The oldest surviving place-names in Scandinavia would seem to be those borne by a number of islands, lakes and rivers. These are names which were formed by the addition of a derivative suffix to a root-form. Such names are found in Denmark, Norway and Sweden and there are parallel formations in Germany and England.\(^8\) Formally it is possible to explain some of the names in England as being of Scandinavian origin, but in fact it seems highly unlikely that any of the English names were coined by Viking settlers. A Scandinavian origin has been proposed for the name Skerne (Yorks.(ER)), which could be an n-derivative of the adjective *skjær 'bright' and thus an exact parallel to the Norwegian name Skjern and a close parallel to the twice-occurring Danish name Skjern, an n-derivative of *skær.\(^9\) Since he did not consider that place-names were still being formed with the -n suffix in the Viking period, Kristian Hald suggested instead that the Yorkshire Skerne might simply have been called after the Norwegian river.\(^10\)

Eilert Ekwall, however, had drawn attention to the village of Skerningham (Durham), which is on another stream called Skerne, and whose name is an -ingahām formation, a type quite unknown in Scandinavia and certainly not in use for forming names in England at the time of the Viking settlements. Ekwall therefore suggested that the names Skerne and Skerningham were scandinavianized versions of OE p.n. *Scirana and *Scireningahām respectively.\(^11\) This explanation of these names is certainly the most convincing one and there seems to me to be no reason to explain any names in England as n-derivatives coined by either Danish or Norwegian settlers.

The determination of the language spoken by the coiners of place-names in England is greatly complicated by the fact that there are several types of name in Scandinavia which are closely related to names in England, and indeed in Germany, Holland and northern France, since place-name generics were disseminated throughout the north-west of Europe in the Migration Period. Examples are on the one hand the various ing-formations and on the other hand compound formations with generics such as heimr, sted and tān. For some of the names in England, the possibilities exist that they were coined by Danes or Norwegians. A closer look, therefore, needs to be taken at these names to see whether, in fact, their formation antedates the Viking invasions.

Place-names in -ing form a heterogeneous group both in Scandinavia and in Germany and England, although in Scandinavia the late date at which most of the names are first recorded in writing often makes it particularly difficult to ascribe the individual names to one or other of the ing-suffix formations. There is one striking difference between the ing-names as a class in England and those in Scandinavia, however, and this is the rare occurrence in Scandinavia of ing-names derived from personal names, while names such as Hastings (Sussex), which is assumed to have originated as a tribal name 'the followers of Hásta' and then to have been transferred to the territory occupied by the tribe, are quite common in England. Tribal names in -ing based on appellatival themes are, however, of frequent occurrence in Denmark and southern and eastern Sweden as far north as Uppland, where they would seem to have functioned as district names. Singular ing-names formed on appellatival or verbal themes, many of which were originally appellatives in -ing, have a more general distribution over southern Scandinavia, also occurring in Norway. Formally, it would certainly be possible to explain ing-names such as Horning and Breydon in Norfolk as Viking coinages.\(^12\) All the ing-names in England whose themes are apparently Scandinavian, however, can be explained satisfactorily as the result of adaptation of English names by Scandinavian speakers.

The Scandinavian generic *heimr occurs particularly frequently in names in Norway but there are also many instances in southern Sweden and Jutland, while in the Danish islands it is of comparatively rare occurrence. That this generic was already current in the Migration Period is shown by its use to form place-names in -heim in Germany, some of which are recorded in classical sources, and place-names in -hām in England. There is a little evidence which might point to the survival of the Scandinavian generic into the Viking period in the formation of a number of names in -heim in Shetland and Iceland. All the Icelandic names and many of the Shetland ones, however, are stereotype names such as *Sóli-heimr 'sunny settlement' and *Vind-heimr 'windy settlement' and there do not seem to be any names in -heimr in Orkney, Man or the Faroes.\(^13\) It has been thought that there is evidence for the use of the generic in England, where the divergent development of Primitive Germanic ai to å in English makes it easy to distinguish between Scandinavian heimr and English hām. The recorded spellings in -heim of names in England, however, are unlikely to reflect the survival of the generic as a living element among the Danish
settlers in England. They are simply the result of adaptation by Scandinavian-speaking settlers of pre-existing English names in -ham.

In order to isolate names of Norwegian and Danish coinage, it would seem more promising to look at some of the Scandinavian name-types whose distribution in the homelands is less general than that of heimr. There are three major groups of names with characteristic distribution patterns which have been ascribed on various grounds to an early period. There are the names in -lev, -lose and -vin. Names in -lev, a habitative generic whose significance would seem to have been 'something left behind' and which is frequently combined with anthroponymical specifics, point to private ownership of land at an early date. In Scandinavia they are only found in Denmark and southern Sweden, while in the rest of the Germanic area, the generic is only to be found, in the form -leben, in a comparatively restricted area of Germany, mainly within the old territory of Thuringen (see Map 2). There must surely have been some connection between the two concentrations of names. There could hardly have been a direct connection between the two areas across the broad levless belt formed by Schleswig-Holstein, but a movement from Thuringen over Brandenburg, Mecklenburg, Lolland and Falster is a possibility to be reckoned with. If there were any evidence for the presence of lev-names in England, it would be most likely that these were to be explained as being introduced by Danish settlers in the Viking period. The absence of such evidence, however, tends to confirm other evidence pointing to an early and short period of currency for lev as a place-name-forming element in Scandinavia. It cannot be used to indicate an absence of Danes from any particular area in the Viking period.

The two other old generics with limited distributions, lose and vin, would both seem originally to have denoted 'pasture-land', although they survive in the names of settlements, many of which are of high status. Their distribution patterns are more or less complementary. As far as the lose-names are concerned, it can sometimes be difficult to distinguish the old names from a younger stratum of derogatory names in which the lose-element is the suffix '-less', indicating a lack of whatever is denoted by the theme, e.g. Brodlose 'lacking bread'. When these names have been filtered away, the remaining lose-names can be seen to be very common in the Danish islands, particularly Sjælland, to have spread from there to southern Sweden, but not to have reached Norway. The generic does not occur in Migration-period names in the West Germanic area but if Lennart Elmevik's reasonable explanation of its etymology as a derivative from a Primitive Germanic adjectival stem *laus- 'light, shining' can be accepted, then it is related to the Old English generic leah. The Viking settlers did not make use of the generic lose to coin new names in England or the Atlantic colonies, nor did they bestow second-hand lose-names on English localities.

Whereas the core area for the lose-names would seem to have been the island of Sjælland in Denmark, the vin-names are most numerous in Norway and fairly common in western Sweden, while there are no certain instances of vin as a generic in Denmark. The suggestion has been made, however, that the generic may have had a much wider distribution than indicated by the surviving names. It does not seem to have been used as a place-name generic in the West Germanic area in the Migration period, although Old English wīn(e) apparently occurs as a specific in a number of English place-names. Both the Scandinavian word and its English cognate would seem to have dropped out of use at a fairly early date. It is true that the word occurs as a specific in a number of minor names in the Orkneys and Shetlands but the only names in these islands which seem reasonably certain to contain vin as a generic are Livna in Shetland, and Lyking, which occurs three times in Orkney. The names Livna and Lyking both reflect an original *Leik-vin and they would seem to be examples of an appellative or a stereotype place-name denoting a 'playground, sports-field'. There are no names in -vin in Iceland, the Faroes or England.

Thus, of the three old generics with restricted distribution in the Scandinavian homelands, the two elements from the Danish/South Swedish zone, lev and lose, have left no trace on the nomenclature of the colonies in the west, while the typically Norwegian/West Swedish vin may have been taken in fossilized form to the Northern Isles.

The next two generics to be discussed are habitative generics which were current in Scandinavia in the Migration period but which, unlike for example heimr and lev, remained current, in parts of the region at least, well into the Viking period, namely stadir and tun. Names in -stadir (Danish sted, Norwegian sted, Swedish sta) are found over most of Scandinavia, although they are particularly common in the parts of Norway in which names in -heimr and -vin are rare. It was earlier thought that the stadir-names spread to Scandinavia from Germany in the Migration period, but John Kousgaard Sorensen has argued that the Danish names in -sted developed independently of the names in -stelt in the old Saxon territories in Germany, from where the
generic must have been brought to England, and also, incidentally, of the names in -ste in Sweden. There has been much discussion as to the exact meaning of the generic but it seems most likely that it originally had a general significance such as ‘place, site for’ and later acquired the secondary sense ‘farm’. Many of the names in Scandinavia would seem to have been coined in the Migration period and others in the immediately succeeding centuries, but in Denmark the element can be seen to have remained productive into the Viking period in such marginal areas as North Jutland and North Schleswig. There are no demonstrably Scandinavian names in -stadir in eastern England, although there is some evidence for the replacement of the specific of English place-names in -ste by Scandinavian words. It has been tentatively suggested that the absence of Danish names in -stadir from the Danelaw indicates that the settlers there must have come from parts of Denmark where the generic had already dropped out of use in the Viking period. Other explanations are, however, possible. In the Northern and Western Isles, place-names in -stadir are fairly numerous but their distribution is less general than that of other Scandinavian elements such as ses and bölstadir. W. F. H. Nicolaisen has suggested that this was because the names in -stadir belonged to the very earliest period of settlement. The Viking settlers carried the generic with them to Iceland late in the ninth century, however, and it became extremely common there, so the chronological explanation is hardly tenable. It seems more likely that the absence of names in -stadir from an area reflects either the absence of the kind of settlement to which the generic was appropriate, or the use of a different generic for the kind of settlement denoted by stadir in Norway. Magnus Olsen argued long ago that the farms with names in -stadir in Norway were probably often small settlements detached from an old estate centre, and stadir-farms in Iceland would also seem to have been dependent farms of comparatively low status. There are no stadir-farms in the Faroes, and Lindsay Macgregor, who has made a detailed study of the settlement-pattern in the Faroe Islands, has kindly pointed out to me that once all the primary settlement sites there had been occupied, there was no room for the kind of expansion on outfields that might have resulted in the coining of names in -stadir or even for establishing the humbler farms that in Shetland, for example, were given names in -bölstadir. In Caithness, where the evidence for names in -stadir is minimal, secondary settlements of the Viking period were generally given names in -bölstadir. In Man, on the other hand, while there are a fair number of names in -stadir, there is only one doubtful instance of a name in -bölstadir, possibly because the Scandinavians in Man would have had little cause to refer to such humble farms. There are no names in -stadir in southern Scotland or Cumbria and only two possible occurrences in Lancashire (Croxteth and Toxteth). These two isolated names may perhaps have been coined by settlers from Man, for the role played by stadir in the Northern and Western Isles is played in south-west Scotland and north-west England by a different Scandinavian generic, by, to which I shall return later.

The second Scandinavian generic which was certainly in use in both the Migration period and the Viking period is tun (Swedish tona). Names containing it are common in Sweden, but they are comparatively rare in Norway and there are only a few instances in Denmark. In the West Germanic area, names in -tun are only found in England and northern France (see Map 3). In England, tun is by far the commonest habitative generic, having been used to coin place-names there from the Migration period until after the Norman Conquest, and it seems likely that the names in the northern coastal region of France reflect a movement from England back to the Continent, perhaps as late as in the ninth century. The original significance of the element tun was ‘enclosure’, but there is early evidence from England for its use to denote a ‘royal vill’ and it seems that the generic came to be used of some kind of administrative centre in Scandinavia, too. The suggestion has been made that tona-names in Sweden (see Map 4) are to be associated with an administrative reorganization in the tenth and eleventh centuries, perhaps under the influence of contact with England in the later Viking period. The settlements bearing tun-names in Denmark certainly seem to be old and I should like to suggest that the Danish simplex name Tøn may have replaced an earlier name at a period of administrative reorganization. The frequency of occurrence in Sweden of Tuna as a simplex name supports the theory of its quasi-appellativ use in replacement of older names. Although the numerous hybrid tun-names in England with Scandinavian specifics show that the Viking settlers were not averse to using the element, it seems most likely that the Grimston- and Carlton-type names are simply Scandinavianized versions of earlier English place-names in -tun. If the generic was, in fact, in process of migration in the Viking period, then it is not inconceivable that it was England which was influencing Scandinavia, in much the same way as tun would also seem to have spread from England to France. There is no evidence for the use of...
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Map 3. The distribution of Germanic place-names in southern Belgium and northern France. Reproduced from E. Gannscheeg, Germanische Siedlung in Belgien und Nordfrankreich (Berlin, 1938), I, with the permission of the publishers.
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to form place-names in the Northern or Western Isles and the names containing the generic in Iceland would all seem to be later than the Viking period.  

The final generic current in the Migration period to be discussed here is *prop*, which would seem to have been used of a ‘dependent secondary settlement’. In Scandinavia, this generic is extremely common in both Denmark and Sweden but in Norway it is of rare occurrence outside of Østfold. There are numerous names in Germany which contain the cognate -dorp and in the Migration period the generic also spread to England, where it appears in the metathesized form *prop*. The generic remained current in Scandinavia throughout the Viking period and the numerous Scandinavian compounds in -dorp in eastern England were most probably coined by the Danish settlers there. In the other Viking colonies *prop* is of rare occurrence. There are a few *dorps* in the Shetlands and a single one in Iceland and the generic appears in seven names in north-west England. It seems most likely that these seven names were coined by settlers from the Danelaw, since they all lie close to the routes across the Pennines from Yorkshire, but it is noticeable that *prop* did not spread across Cumbria into Dumfriesshire or southwards along the Lancashire coast. It is therefore necessary to consider whether an absence of *dorp*-names from north-west England might reflect an absence of *prop*-names which could be adopted and adapted by the incoming Vikings.

Some of the generics occurring in place-names in Scandinavia seem not to have come into use until after the Migration period, and where a place-name in England contains one of these generics it is reasonable to assume that the name was coined by the Viking settlers or their descendants. The specifically Scandinavian generic which achieved a dominant position in the areas of Viking settlement in England is the one which appears in place-names in Denmark, Sweden, eastern Norway and Trøndelag as *by*, in south-western Norway as *be*, in the Faroes as *baor* and in Iceland as *bar*. This generic has been the subject of much discussion and both the original significance of the appellative and its significance in place-names in Scandinavia are disputed. In Denmark, Sweden, and eastern Norway it was used of settlements of many types, ranging from single farmsteads and dependent secondary settlements to prosperous villages and areas of dispersed settlement. In southern and western Norway, on the other hand, there is rather more evidence for the use of the generic in a sense such as ‘cultivated area, home-field’ but here, too, it was used of areas of dispersed settlement and of single farms. In both Sweden and Norway, but not in Denmark, the simplex name *Bo* or *By* occurs as a secondary name for settlements of high status. The generic would not seem to have been much used in the Atlantic colonies. In the Faroes, *bar* occurs in a fair number of place-names with the sense ‘home-field’ but the only instances of *bar* used with a habitative sense would seem to be *i Bo*, the secondary name by which the inhabitants refer to the old farms which were absorbed into the town of Klaksvik; and two names in Streymey, the farm and episcopal see known by the quasi-appellative name *i Kirkjubó*, and a farm known as *á Signabó*.

In the Orkneys and Shetlands there are a number of instances of the simplex name *bar*, and in Shetland a couple of instances of another quasi-appellative name *Kirkjubó*. In both island-groups there are occurrences of the generic in the sense ‘area of dispersed settlement’, for example *Evarbi* in Shetland and *Everby* in Orkney. There are comparatively few instances there of the use of *bar* in combination with an appellative as a primary place-name, but Eksnabe and Toptebi in Shetland and Erraby and *Sebay* in Orkney are possible examples. It has also been suggested that two *bar*-names in Orkney might have anthroponymical specifics, namely Trenaby and Cattaby, and personal names have been proposed as the specifics of Eropie in Lewis; of the only two names in -*bar* in Caithness (Duncansby and Canisbay); possibly of four names in -*by* in Man (two Colbys, Elby and Trolly); and of the only name in -*bar* in Iceland which is recorded in an early source, namely *Sumaríðabá*, said to have been named after a third-generation Icelander whose mother, it has been suggested, may have come from the Danelaw. This latter suggestion was probably made partly because a parallel place-name, *Somerby*, occurs five times in the Danelaw and partly because the personal name *Sumaríði* is thought to have arisen in the British Isles, but partly also because place-names in -*by* with anthroponymical specifics are characteristic of areas of the Danelaw which remained under Danish ownership well into the tenth century. Personal names do not occur particularly frequently as the specifics of -*by*-names in Scandinavia, and in Denmark in particular they have a very restricted distribution. It has been suggested that the custom of forming this kind of name may have come to Denmark from Sweden or from England, and it has also been argued that the name-type arose spontaneously in Denmark as a consequence of a specific situation in settlement history rather than as a result of any foreign influence. It is to be noted that in Sweden place-names in -*by* with anthroponymical specifics are particularly frequent in Uppland, while there are no certain instances in Skåne, Halland or
Blekinge, and that the personal names contained in the Swedish names, as also in bys in Norway, include many names that post-date the conversion to Christianity. Similarly, the place-names in -by in South Schleswig include many whose specifics are personal names of Low German origin, and Wolfgang Laur has argued that many of the by-names here must post-date the Viking period. It seems most likely that it was the need to coin a large number of new names for small independent agricultural settlement-units that led to the development of the 'personal name plus -by' type of place-name both in the Scandinavian homelands and in the Danelaw. The scattered examples of the type which occur in Caithness, the Northern and Western Isles, Man and Iceland, where small settlement-units otherwise tended to be given names in -stadir, may reflect contact with the Danelaw in the Viking period, so it is possible that Hald was right to see the names in Denmark, too, as the result of dissemination along trade routes. The comparative absence from north-west England of by-names with anthroponymical specifics can be explained as reflecting the fact that the Viking settlers here did not have the opportunity to effect a thorough reorganization of the pattern of landholding before English rule was re-established early in the tenth century.

The absence of another group of by-names, the hisaby-s, from England, is probably also a reflection of the early restoration of English rule. In Scandinavia the hisaby-s have a characteristic distribution. There are nine in Denmark, forty-six in Norway and about seventy in Sweden. The occurrence of the name in Denmark has been seen as reflecting the presence of a Swedish dynasty in southern Jutland early in the tenth century, although Tore Nyberg has recently argued that the Danish hisaby-s may have been created to play a role in the territorial organization emanating from the Jelling dynasty later in that century. Lars Hellberg has suggested that in Sweden the name *Husaby replaced older names in plural Husa, Husum about the year 1000 as a term for an administrative centre embracing more than one farm and that the husaby-s in eastern Norway would seem to have spread there from Sweden. It is noticeable that the Norwegian names have forms in -by even in areas where bo is the normal form of the element. Around the Oslo fjord there are Huseby-names which would seem to have replaced older names for heathen cult-centres. Asgaut Steiness has suggested that the distribution of the four Orkney hisaby-s on four separate islands might reflect an administrative division of Orkney into six regions, with centres in the four

hisaby-s and also at Orphir and at some location in Sanday. It certainly seems likely that the hisaby-names both in the Scandinavian homelands and in Orkney have replaced older names and that they indicate some kind of administrative centre that came into operation at a later date than the period of Scandinavian settlement in the Danelaw. It is rather strange, however, that the name-type should be quite absent from Shetland and from Man, even though, as pointed out by Steiness, there is a close similarity between the postulated six hisaby-districts of Orkney and the six sheetings in Man.

Another typically-Scandinavian habitative generic with a wide distribution both in the homelands and in the Viking colonies is toft (Eastern Swedish tom). In Scandinavia the generic has normally been taken to denote a 'building-plot', although it has been argued that it might also have the sense 'site of deserted settlement' and that in the colonies it might reflect the destruction and desertion of settlements that took place in the course of the Viking invasions and subsequent occupation of the deserted sites by Viking settlers. It may be significant that as a place-name generic in Iceland, and as the Scandinavian loan-word tohta in Gaelic, toft has the sense 'ruin with walls standing and roof fallen in'. The greater frequency of occurrence of names in -toft in Normandy than in the Danelaw may reflect a greater degree of destruction and desertion in Normandy in the course of the Viking raids and settlement there. On the other hand, it should be noted that, in the Danelaw, names in -toft are of particularly frequent occurrence in the low-lying marshy Holland division of Lincolnshire, where other names of Scandinavian origin are not very common, and it does not seem likely that all these names represent settlements first destroyed and then reoccupied by the Danes. Many of the names in Holland are not recorded until a comparatively late date and they may well have been coined by Englishmen at some time after the word toft had been adopted into the English language to denote a building-plot.

Whatever the exact significance of this generic may have been in the Viking period, it was certainly taken by the Vikings in the form toft, plural toftir, to all their western colonies. It is undoubtedly in Normandy that its popularity was greatest but, in addition to the frequent occurrences in the Danelaw, it is also found in names in Iceland, the Faroes, Shetland, Orkney, the Hebrides and Man. This generic can therefore reveal nothing about the national origin of the Viking settlers, except in so far as absence of tomt-spellings can confirm the absence of any considerable number of settlers from eastern Sweden.
Generics associated with the exploitation of outfields for pasture occur, as would be expected, much more frequently in the mountainous areas of Norway and Sweden than in low-lying and fertile Denmark. The closely related generics setr and sætr would both seem originally to have been associated with a pastoral economy. It has been argued that in some central areas of Norway shielings with names in -setr developed into permanent settlements and that in these areas sætr took over the role of setr in the formation of shieling-names, whereas in areas where there had been little development from shieling to farm, sætr continued to be used to form shieling-names.\(^49\) In Sweden, farm-names in -säter, corresponding to Norwegian sætr, are extremely common in central parts, particularly Östergötland and Södermanland, while shieling-names in -säter, corresponding to sætr, have a different distribution pattern, most of them being found in Värmland and in the western parts of Dalarna and Jämtland.\(^50\) There would not seem to be any säter-names in the old Danish territories in southern Sweden, but both in Skåne and in present-day Denmark there are a number of names in related *sæta, corresponding to a West Scandinavian *seta, and it seems likely that Sæder in Sjælland is an isolated instance of a *sætvar, corresponding to sætr.\(^51\) There is no evidence for the use of these generics in West Germanic areas in the Migration period but it can, of course, be difficult to distinguish them from related generics such as Low German *sete and Old English *sæte or (ge)set.

It is often impossible to distinguish between the generics setr and sætr in the Viking colonies and they will therefore be discussed together here. Names containing one or other of them are of extremely common occurrence in Shetland. They are also common in Orkney, Caithness, Lewis and north-east Skye but they are not found in the rest of the Inner Hebrides or Man and there are no settlement names containing them in Iceland. There are a fair number of names in -setr in the high land in Cumbria and a few in Yorkshire. It seems reasonable to assume that the generics were originally brought to the British Isles by Vikings from Norway. The uneven distribution pattern has been explained as reflecting both a late wave of immigration from the More region of Norway, where such names are common, and a medial position chronologically between what were considered to be older names in -stadr and younger names in -bólstadtr.\(^52\) The absence of names from the Inner Hebrides and Man may, however, reflect the survival in these areas of the Gaelic generic *airge, which was adopted by the Vikings as *arge and used to denote shielings; while in Iceland the terms *setr and *sætr may simply not have been applicable to the forms of agricultural exploitation employed there.

Another element associated with a shieling economy has a more general, though numerically inferior, distribution in Scandinavia. This is the generic which appears as Norwegian and Old North Jutlandic bûd, Danish bôth, Swedish bodha. In Denmark, place-names containing this generic occur most frequently in the large islands and in north-eastern Jutland. Many of the localities with such names are situated close to the sea, and the generic may well have referred originally to temporary shelters used by fishermen as well as to shelters for animals.\(^53\) The generic occurs in farm-names over most of Norway but in shieling-names it is of particularly common occurrence in Telemark and Buskerud, while in Sweden names in -bodha occur most frequently in wooded regions such as Småland and Väster- and Östergötland and the wooded areas of Uppland, Västmanland and Dalarna. There are a number of place-names in -bûd in Iceland but most of these probably post-date the Viking period.\(^54\) There are also a few instances of such names in the Faroes, but the element does not seem to have been used to coin place-names in the Northern and Western Isles or Man, although the word bod, corresponding in form most closely to East Scandinavian bôth, is recorded in Norn, the Norse language of Shetland, for a fishing-booth.\(^55\) The West Scandinavian form bûd occurs as a generic in a number of place-names in Cumbria and a few in Yorkshire, while the East Scandinavian form occurs as a generic in many names in southern Lancashire, Cheshire, Derbyshire and Yorkshire and as a specific in some names in -bû in Lincolnshire. The distribution pattern, with bûd in Cumbria and bôth in the rest of England, fits well enough with the old assumption that there was Norwegian settlement in Cumbria, so it is not necessary to reckon with postulated settlers from northern Jutland. It should be noted, however, that it is the East Scandinavian form that is found in the more southern parts of north-west England; that bûd does not seem to have been much used at all to coin place-names in the Northern and Western Isles; and that the Shetland Norn form bod seems to reflect both the East Scandinavian form of the word and a typically Danish denotation 'fishing-booth'.

If it is true that hörp-names are often borne by dependent settlements of great age, by-names by settlement-units detached from old estates, toft-names by settlements established on deserted sites and setr/sætr- or bûd/bôth-names by settlements originally associated with a pastoral economy, then it is necessary to look elsewhere for place-names indicating colonization in the strict
sense, that is the occupation and exploitation of virgin land. The
frequency of occurrence in Iceland, the Faroes, the Northern and
Western Isles, Man, Cumbria and the North Riding of Yorkshire
of Scandinavian settlement names which originally denoted
topographical features reveals that such names were used by
Norwegians and Danes alike for settlements which they estab-
lished not only in virgin territory but also in areas that must have
been fairly densely settled before the arrival of the Vikings. The
impossibility of dating the coining of such names, however,
combines with the fact that they were coined by both Danes and
Norwegians to make them of little use for the purposes of the
present study.

I would therefore argue that in countries which were not devoid
of settlement before the arrival of the Vikings it is the generics
denoting clearings in woodland that are the best indicators of areas
that were first occupied by Viking settlers. In the Scandinavian
homelands there were two generics which were much used in the
Viking period and in the immediately succeeding centuries to
denote clearings in woodland and these two generics have
distribution patterns which are broadly speaking complementary.
The one generic, freit, occurs in Denmark as træd, in Norway as
tveit and in Sweden as twet. It is particularly common in a broad
belt that runs roughly north-west/south-east through Scandinavia
from Hordaland in Norway, through Telemark, across Skagerrak
to Vendsyssel in North Jutland, through Jürgenberg and across
Kattegat to north-western, central and southern Sjælland.56 There
are names containing freit in other parts of Norway and Denmark
but they are nowhere near as common as in the freit-belt, while
tveit-names are comparatively rare in Skåne and Sweden. In
marked contrast to north-western Sjælland, north-eastern Sjel-
land has not a single name in -trede. Here the generic used to
denote clearings in woodland is rad, developed from Old Scandi-
navian ruð. There is a marked concentration of names in
-rad here and these names seem to spill across the Sound to Skåne
and the rest of southern and central Sweden, where the generic
appears in such varying forms as rad, ruð, ryd and red. From
central Sweden the generic has penetrated into eastern Norway
and there are many names in -ruð in Östfold, Buskerud and the
area around the Oslo fjord.57

Of these two generics, freit is the one better represented in the
Viking colonies. In Iceland and the Faroes, where the Norwegians
settled in hitherto unoccupied territory with little or no woodland,
there would not seem to have been any call for a generic denoting a
settlement in a clearing. There are no instances of freit or ruð in
the Faroes and the only occurrence of freit in Iceland is as a
simplex name for a small lake, while ruð does not seem to occur
here at all.58 There is a single instance of the use of freit as a
simplex township-name, Twatt, in Shetland and there are two
farms called Twatt in Orkney.59 With the exception of an isolated
instance in Midlothian, Moorfott, the only county on the Scottish
mainland to have names containing freit is Dumfriesshire, where
there are no less than nineteen instances.60 These names would
seem to represent a spill-over from the concentration of names in
Cumberland, Westmorland, northern Lancashire and the North
and West Ridings of Yorkshire. In other parts of the Danelaw,
names containing freit are not very common but they occur as far
south as Norfolk and there is a scatter of freit-names in
Normandy. As far as England and Dumfriesshire are concerned,
I would argue that freit-names reflect secondary settlements
founded by Vikings later than the initial colonization and after
the clearing of woodland, often in remote and inaccessible areas such
as the Cumbrian dome and the Yorkshire Moors.61 The absence of
freit-names from southern Lancashire and Cheshire probably
reflects the comparatively short duration of Viking rule in these
areas, while in the rest of the Danelaw there may not have been as
much scope for clearing of woodland. The work of clearing for
settlement there had taken place before the arrival of the Vikings
and is marked onomastically by the English names in -leah. In the
North-West, for example, the distribution patterns of names in
-leah and names in -freit are complementary.

There are no instances of ruð as a generic in English
place-names, but Routh in the East Riding of Yorkshire may be an
instance of a side-form rūd as a simplex name, and rūd may well
occur as a specific in Rudby in the North Riding of Yorkshire and
in Ruffhams and a lost Rudetorp in the East Riding.62 These
names show that the Vikings were familiar with the word ruð for a
clearing but the fact that it was not employed by them as a generic
in their colonies must be significant. It is possible that the generic
had not yet become current in the homelands in the Viking period,
but it is perhaps more likely, as suggested by Kristian Hald, that
the Viking settlers in the colonized areas came from those parts of
Scandinavia where the generic favoured for names of clearings was
freit not ruð, and this would mean from the belt running from
Hordaland in the north-west to southern Sjælland in the
south-east.63

This survey of the distribution of some of the commonest
Scandinavian habitative generics in the homelands and in the
Viking-period colonies has revealed the need for caution in basing assessments of the age of the settlements or the nationality of the settlers on the place-name evidence. When a generic is absent from all the Viking-period colonies, however, as is the case with \textit{lev}, it seems reasonable to assume that it was no longer current as a place-name-forming element in the homelands at the time when the Viking settlements took place. The same probably also applies to generics which are only found in a few stereotype names in the colonies, for example \textit{vin} and \textit{heimr}, although the \textit{heimr}-names in Shetland may conceivably reflect a currency surviving until about 800.

Where a generic is found in one or more of the colonies but not in all of them, several explanations are possible. The absence of the quasi-appellativial name *\textit{Husaby} from the Danelaw, for example, is probably to be explained by the late date at which the \textit{husaby}-institution developed in the homelands, while the comparative rarity of names in -\textit{setr} and -\textit{set} in the Danelaw and in Denmark is probably a reflection of an agricultural economy in which the exploitation of hill- and mountain-pastures of necessity played a limited role, and the absence of names in -\textit{stadir} and -\textit{bolstadir} from the Faroes reflects the lack of land on which secondary settlements could develop.

There is one Scandinavian generic whose uneven distribution in the colonies suggests that its employment in England may reflect the pre-existing distribution pattern of its English cognate. There is an isolated instance of \textit{forp} in Iceland and a few scattered occurrences in Shetland and Normandy, but it is only in England that names in -\textit{forp} are common and the instances in the North-West seem likely to represent an overflow from the Danelaw. Alternatively, the \textit{forps} here may be an indicator of Danish colonization and point to a limited Danish presence west of the Pennines.

Most significant for the determination of the national origin of the settlers, however, are the generics \textit{stadir} and \textit{by}, which would both seem to have been used, in the colonies, of settlements detached from or dependent upon an estate centre, although the generic \textit{by} could also be used in the Danelaw of almost any other kind of settlement. The distribution patterns of the two elements in the colonies are largely complementary and to me it seems most likely that the \textit{stadir}-names are characteristic of areas of mainly Norwegian settlement, while the \textit{by}-names are to be taken as indicators of Danish settlement. The isolated occurrences of the two generics, \textit{stadir} in Toxteth and Croxteth in Lancashire and \textit{by} in \textit{Samurlidbaer} in Iceland, probably betray contact between Lancashire and the Isle of Man and between Iceland and the Danelaw respectively, while the scattered occurrences of names in -\textit{argi} in Yorkshire show that the influence of the Gaelic-speaking colonies was felt to the east of the Pennines in the northern Danelaw. There would, indeed, seem to have been lively contact between the various colonies in the Viking period. Finally, it seems possible that the names in the homelands in which the generics \textit{stadir} and \textit{bar} are compounded with anthropomorphical specific reflect the influence of the colonies on the nomenclature of the motherlands in much the same way as the coining of place-names in -\textit{ing} with an anthropomorphical theme, and of place-names in -\textit{heim} with an anthropomorphical specific, would seem to have spread in the Migration period from the new territories to the homelands of the Saxons and Franks.64
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The Scandinavian Element *Staðir*

in Caithness, Orkney and Shetland

Doreen Waugh

The Scandinavian element *staðir* is generally translated as 'farm', but it is plural in form and may well refer to a farm-group. An additional surmise could be that in many cases the farm-group could have been under the overall supervision of one person, a theory which the preponderance of personal-name specifics occurring with *staðir* would tend to support.

The presence of *staðir* as a generic in the place-names of Orkney and Shetland is well-attested, but it is apparently absent from the place-names of Caithness. This apparent absence has been used to suggest that the settlement of Caithness might have occurred at a slightly later date than the settlement of neighbouring Orkney. I should like, in this paper, to propose that there are, in fact, reasonable grounds for assuming a minimal presence of the generic *staðir* in Caithness place-names.